

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(Sr. No. 202)

CRM-M No. 42107 of 2022

Date of decision : 15.12.2022

Prabhjot Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present : Mr. Gaurav Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 46 dated 16.05.2022 registered under Sections 186, 353, 332, 354-B, 382, 307, 148 and 149 IPC at Police Station Badali Aala Singh, district Fatehgarh Sahib.

On 14.09.2022, this Court had passed the following order : -

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case; presently the petitioner is not facing any other criminal case; the injured's MLR does not reveal any injury which can be described as dangerous to life; in fact, there is no injury on the injured which can be described as grievous; after the dismissal of the petitioner's first petition for anticipatory bail the petitioner and the complainant have compromised the matter and therefore the petitioner's custodial interrogation is not warranted.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Navneet Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab, accepts notice

on behalf of the respondent-State and Mr. B.B.S. Randhawa, Advocate, puts in appearance on behalf of the complainant and pray for time to argue the matter.

Adjourned to 15.12.2022.

In the meanwhile, subject to the petitioner's joining investigation as and when called by the investigating agency as also abiding by the other conditions provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C, in the event of his arrest in FIR No.46 dated 16.05.2022 registered under Sections 186, 353, 332, 354-B, 382, 307, 148 and 149 IPC at Police Station Badali Ala Singh, District Fatehgarh Sahib, he shall be released on ad interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer.”

Learned State counsel submits that under the afore-quoted order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined and cooperated with the investigation and that his custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

After considering the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner in the afore-quoted order and the statement made on behalf of the State, the interim order of this Court dated 14.09.2022 granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is ordered to be made absolute.

15.12.2022

sunil yadav

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No