

**116(3) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RA-CW-209-2022 in
CWP No.25068 of 2016
Date of Decision: 28.09.2022

Dewan Chand and othersReview Applicants
Versus
Union of India and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present: Mr. Rana Gurtej Singh, Advocate and
Mr. Nikhil Grover, Advocate,
for the review applicant/petitioners.

Mr. Arun Gosain, Senior Govt. counsel,
for the respondent-UI.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (ORAL)

This is an application for review of the judgment dated
08.08.2022 passed by this Court in CWP No.25068 of 2016.

The argument of the counsel for the applicant/petitioners is qua
the validity of the VRS, on the ground that there was an interim order
protecting the petitioners till the decision of the writ petition in the matter of
VRS. However, a perusal of the judgment passed by this Court clearly
shows that the issue has already been dealt with in the judgment. It is not
disputed by the present applicant/petitioners that they had received the
statutory benefits, including the retrenchment compensation. Therefore, the
present review application is nothing but an attempt to re-argue the case.
However, re-arguing the case is not within the scope of review, as such.
Hence, this Court does not find any substance in the review application and
the same is dismissed.

28.09.2022
sandeep

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No