

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

222/2

CWP-31159-2018 (O&M)

DATE OF DECISION: 07.12.2022

MAM CHAND AND ANR

... Petitioner (s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Parveen Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Narender Behgal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Advocate for R-3.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking a direction to the respondents-Corporation to treat them at par with the employees who have been reinstated in terms of the Award of the Labour Court.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners had been appointed as Chowkidar-cum-Helper (Class IV post) in the year from 2005 to 2014. Later in the year 2016, their services were engaged through an outsourcing agency. The petitioners have been working continuously but they are not being treated at par with their similarly situated employees whose services had been terminated but were reinstated by an Award of the Labour Court. They also apprehend that their services may be dispensed with.

Learned counsel for the respondents submit that the petitioners did not raise any objection when their services were engaged through an

outsourcing agency in the year 2016. He also submits that the petitioners have been deployed through Haryana Kaushal Rozgar Nigam Limited and there is no move to replace them at present, therefore, this petition has been rendered infructuous at this stage.

In view of the statement of the learned counsel for the respondents, the petition stands disposed of as infructuous at this stage.

Civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

07.12.2022

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No