

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

207

CRM-M-46612-2021

Date of Decision: 07.02.2022.

Karan Kumar @ Kaku

....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

.....

Present: Mr. Suvir Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Senior Deputy Advocate General,
Punjab.

Mr. C.L. Verma, Advocate for the complainant.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has approached this Court under Section 438 Cr.P.C. to seek anticipatory bail in case FIR No.239 dated 24.09.2021 under Sections 323, 324, 326, 341 and 506 IPC (Section 326 of IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Haibowal, District Ludhiana, who apprehends his arrest at the hands of the police.

On 08.11.2021, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel contends that the sole injury suffered by the injured Vishal inviting the offence punishable under Section 326 IPC was caused by co-accused Nannu s/o Sanjay. It is also pointed out that the said offence was also added subsequently. He further submits that the alleged occurrence took place on 22.09.2021, whereas the FIR was lodged after a delay of two days i.e. 24.09.2021.

Notice of motion for 07.02.2022.

At this stage, C.L. Verma, Advocate has appeared and filed vakalatnama on behalf of complainant.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that in compliance of the above order, the petitioner associated himself in the investigation and co-operated during interrogation.

Learned State counsel, who is instructed by ASI Harmesh Lal states that indeed the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 08.11.2021 is made absolute.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

07.02.2022.

komal

Whether speaking/reasoned? : Yes/ No
Whether reportable? : Yes/ No