

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.207

CRM-M-46311-2021

Date of decision: 31.01.2022

Jagdeep Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Kewal Krishan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rehat Bir Mann, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sunil Mallan, Advocate,
for the complainant.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing.

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.212 dated 22.08.2021 registered under Sections 306, 34 IPC at Police Station Haibowal, District Ludhiana.

On November 02, 2021, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Instant petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.212 dated 22.08.2021, registered for offences under Sections 306 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, at Police Station Haibowal, District Ludhiana (Annexure P-2).

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is the father-in-law of deceased and his daughter Pawandeep Kaur, who was married to the deceased, has been granted interim protection by this Court vide order dated 29.09.2021 (Annexure P-6) passed in CRM-M-40853- 2021. By referring to the order dated 21.09.2021 (Annexure P-4), counsel submits that the petitioner was granted interim bail by the

Trial Court and he joined the investigation, but despite the fact that the Investigating Officer made a statement that petitioner is not required for custodial interrogation, his petition seeking grant of anticipatory bail has been dismissed vide order dated 21.09.2021 (Annexure P-4). Counsel submits that the petitioner is ready to re-join the investigation and co-operate with the Investigating Agency.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Prabhjot Singh Walia, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

List on 31.01.2022.

To be heard with CRM-M-40853-2021.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would appear as and when called for by the Investigating Officer. In the event of arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel submits that under the afore-quoted order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation; co-operated with the investigating agency and that his custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

After considering the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner in the afore-quoted order and the statement made on behalf of the State, the interim order of this Court dated 02.11.2021, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

January 31, 2022

Jyoti 1

(DEEPAK SIBAL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No