

[105] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No.2972 of 2019
Date of Decision :11.07.2022

Harjeet Kaur wife of Shri Milap Singh ...Petitioner

versus

Mukul Kumar, Deputy Commissioner, Yamuna
Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar and anotherRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Ashish Jhamb, Advocate for
Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Rajesh Sheoran, Advocate for respondent No.2.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 31.05.2019, in CWP No.15966 of 2019 in case titled as '**Harjeet Kaur versus State of Haryana and others.**'

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1), reveals that CWP No.15966 of 2019, was disposed of by directing the respondents to consider and decide the legal notice served by the petitioner by passing a speaking order as expeditiously as possible.

[3] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that in compliance of order (Annexure P-1) dated 31.05.2019, respondent No.2 has passed (Annexure R-1/1) dated 17.04.2020, rejecting the claim of the petitioner as contained in legal notice dated 06.05.2019 and that in

thecircumstances, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same with liberty to challenge order (Annexure R-1/1) dated 17.04.2020 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

[4] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

11.07.2022
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No