

COCN-2794-2020

Date of Decision: 17.05.2022

Rajinder Singh

...Petitioner

vs.

Rakesh Chauhan and another

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Gulam Nabi Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the instant petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 08.12.2016, in CWP-24876-2013 and order Annexure P/2 dated 02.09.2019, in COCP-3720-2017.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that payment in terms of the aforementioned orders have been released to the petitioners but belatedly and much beyond the period stipulated in the aforementioned orders, therefore, the petitioner does not press the instant petition but prays for grant of liberty to take out appropriate proceedings, in accordance with law, to claim interest on the delayed release of pension.

3. The same is not opposed to by the learned DAG, Haryana.

4. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

17.05.2022

rajesh