

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**RFA-3175-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 02.05.2022**

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

..Appellants

Versus

SMT. SANGEETA AND OTHERS

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Baldev Raj Mahajan, Advocate General, Haryana
with Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, AAG, Haryana,
Ms. Vibha Tewari, AAG, Haryana, and,
Mr. Harsh Vardhan, AAG, Haryana.
Ms. Nikita Goel, Advocate.

Mr. Jaivir Yadav, Advocate
for Mr. Harshwardhan Ranga, Adocate
Mr. Sanjiv Ghai, Advocate
with Mr. Saurabh Garg, Advocate
Mr. Sanjeev Kodan, Advocate
Mr. Navneet Singh, Advocate
Mr. S.P. Chahar, Advocate
Mr. Aditya Yadav, Advocate
Mr. Sandeep Kotla, Advocate
Mr. Chanderhas Yadav, Advocate
Mr. Sanjeev Kodan, Advocate
Mr. Arvind Seth, Advocate,
Mr. Kulvir Narwal, Advocate
Mr. Vijay, Advocate
Mr. Suresh Kaushik, Advocate
Mr. R.K. Girdhwal, Advocate
for Mr. G.N. Malik, Advocate
Mr. Saurabh Dalal, Advocate
Mr. Vivek Khatri, Advocate
Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate
Mr. R.K. Kachwa, Advocate
MR. Ashish Gupta, Advocate
for Mr. G.N. Malik, Advocate
Mr. P.R. Yadav, Advocate
Ms. Divya Arora, Advocate
for Mr. Rahul Deswal, Advocate
Mr. Ravinder Rana, Advocate
Ms. Pratibha Yadav, Advocate
for Mr. Arvind Kumar Yadav, Advocate
for the landowners.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 This batch of Regular First Appeals as well as a cross-objection (details whereof are on the foot of the judgment), filed under Section 74 of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013 (hereinafter referred to as 'the 2013 Act') assail the correctness of the awards passed by the Authority (hereinafter referred as Reference Court) on 21.01.2019, 10.05.2019, 13.08.2019 and 05.09.2019. The notifications under Sections 4 and 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (hereinafter referred to as 'the 1894 Act'), are common. By two different awards i.e. award No.8 and 10 dated 04.07.2014, the Land Acquisition Collector (hereinafter referred to as 'the LAC') has assessed the identical market value of the acquired land. The Reference Court/Authority has uniformly assessed the market value of the acquired land at the rate of Rs.72,00,000/- per acre. The learned counsel representing the parties are *ad idem* that this bunch of appeals and a cross-objection bearing No.XOBJR-154-2019, can be decided by a common judgment.

1.2 The relevant particulars of the cases are:-

Sr. No.	Date	Details
1.	07.07.2011	Notification under Section 4 of the 1894 Act, was issued for expressing intention of the Government to acquire 118.43 acres of land in village Jhajjar as well as Sikandarpur for development of residential sector 9-A.
2.	06.07.2012	Date of publication of declaration under Section 6 of the 1894 Act.
3.	04.07.2014	Vide awards No.8 and 10, the LAC assessed the market value of the acquired land at the rate of Rs.28,13,951/-.

4.	21.01.2019, 10.05.2019, 13.08.2019, and 05.09.2019	The Reference Court/Authority has uniformly assessed as market value of the acquired land at the rate of Rs.72,00,000/- per acre.
----	--	---

1.3 It is evident that the present cases relate to a transitional period between the 1894 Act and the 2013 Act. Hence, these case are governed by Section 24 (1) (a) of the 2013 Act. It provides that for the purpose of the assessing compensation, the provisions of the Act of 2013 shall apply.

1.4 The landowners claim that the acquired land has an immense potential to be used for the residential, commercial and industrial purposes. It has been pointed out that the acquired land is not only located near the already established special economic zone but is also close to the national highway. It is claimed that the market value of the acquired land is not less than Rs.25,000/- per square yard. The State contests the aforesaid position and submits that the award passed by the LAC is made in accordance with the law.

1.5 It may be noted here that vide award dated 21.01.2019, the RC decided as many as 62 cases. In the remaining awards passed on 10.05.2019, 13.08.2019 and 05.09.2019, by the RC, the reliance has been placed on the award dated 21.01.2019.

2. **ORAL AND DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE**

2.1 The landowners in order to prove that the assessment made by the LAC is not correct, have examined PW-1 Bharat Singh as well PW-1 Ramesh Chander (He has been wrongly numbered as PW-1, in the RC.

2.2 Apart from the above-mentioned oral evidence, the landowners have produced the following sale deeds which have been compiled in a table by the RC:-

Village: Jhajjar

Sr. No.	Exhibit Nos.	Sale Deed No.	Date	Total Area	Land Calculated in Marlas	Price	Price Per Acre	Village
1.	P-1 P-70	3432	20.08.2007	4K-3M	83	5000000	9638554	Jhajjar
2.	P-2 P-72	3319	05.07.2011	1K	20	1537000	12296000	Jhajjar
3.	P-2 P-11	4459	01.01.2010	1K-5M	25	1890000	12096000	Jhajjar
4.	P-3 P-64 P-69	2736	18.06.2006	8K-4M	164	4305000	4200000	Jhajjar
5.	P-4	2826	23.06.2011	1A-7K-2M	302	10192500	5400000	Jhajjar
6.	P-5 P-41 P-68	2737	18.06.2006	1A-3M	163	4278750	4200000	Jhajjar
7.	P-7 P-21	820	25.05.2006	14K-14M	294	6982500	3800000	Jhajjar
8.	P-8 P-54	373	29.04.2008	643 Sq. Yards	-	1415000	10651010	Jhajjar
9.	P-9 P-55	608	14.05.2008	700 Sq. Yards	-	2100000	14520000	Jhajjar
10.	P-10 P-52	6971	27.02.2008	720 Sq. Yards	-	1440000	9680000	Jhajjar
11.	P-12	960	10.05.2011	152 Sq. Yards	-	456000	14520000	Jhajjar
12.	P-17	1081	08.06.2005	937 Sq. Yards	-	656000	3388516	Jhajjar
13.	P-18	490	08.06.2005	8A-5M	1285	28912500	3600000	Jhajjar
14.	P-19	818	26.05.2006	1A-3M	163	5093700	4999950	Jhajjar
15.	P-20	819	26.05.2006	3A-3K-12M	552	13800000	4000000	Jhajjar
16.	P-22	821	26.05.2006	1A-1K-2M	182	4095000	3600000	Jhajjar
17.	P-23	822	26.05.2006	4K-5M	85	1912500	3600000	Jhajjar
18.	P-24	1309	15.06.2006	5K-18M	118	3090938	4191102	Jhajjar
19.	P-2	1310	15.06.2006	1A-1K-14M	194	5092500	4200000	Jhajjar
20.	P-26	1688	30.06.2006	2A-4K	400	10400000	4160000	Jhajjar
21.	P-27	1734	03.07.2006	3A-6K	600	15777000	4207200	Jhajjar
22.	P-28	1786	05.07.2006	2A-1K-13M	353	9272813	4202974	Jhajjar
23.	P-29	1859	07.07.2006	5A-9M	809	20212500	3997527	Jhajjar
24.	P-30	1893	11.07.2006	1A-2K-18M	218	4905000	3600000	Jhajjar
25.	P-31	1894	11.07.2006	1A-2K-14M	214	4815000	3600000	Jhajjar
26.	P-32	2095	19.07.2006	1A-5K-5M	265	5962500	3600000	Jhajjar
27.	P-33	2096	19.07.2006	3K-14M	74	1929500	4171891	Jhajjar
28.	P-34	2209	24.07.2006	3A-7K-11M	631	16563750	4200000	Jhajjar
29.	P-35	2228	25.07.2006	2K-4M	44	1250000	4545454	Jhajjar

30.	P-36	2226	25.07.2006	1A-15M	175	5500000	5028571	Jhajjar
31.	P-37	2284	27.07.2006	2A-1K-10M	350	8750000	4000000	Jhajjar
32.	P-38	2301	31.07.2006	2A-1K-9M	349	9152500	4195988	Jhajjar
33.	P-39	2356	01.08.2006	1084 Sq. Yards	-	1193000	5326678	Jhajjar
34.	P-40	2735	18.08.2006	3A-1K-2M	502	13177500	4200000	Jhajjar
35.	P-42	2994	30.08.2006	6A-1K-9M	989	25891000	4188634	Jhajjar
36.	P-43	3146	08.09.2006	2A-5K-16M	436	11445000	4200000	Jhajjar
37.	P-44	3147	08.09.2006	1A-3K-2M	222	5827500	4200000	Jhajjar
38.	P-45	3266	14.09.2006	2A-10M	330	9712500	4709090	Jhajjar
39.	P-46	3422	22.09.2006	1A-10M	30	1000000	5333333	Jhajjar
40.	P-47	3818	18.10.2006	2A-5K-9M	429	12847500	4791608	Jhajjar
41.	P-48	5793	25.01.2007	2K-8M	48	1455000	4850000	Jhajjar
42.	P-49	5822	29.01.2007	2K-15M	55	2000000	5818181	Jhajjar
43.	P-50	6802	07.03.2007	2057 Sq. Yards	-	2263000	5324705	Jhajjar
44.	P-51	2342	04.07.2007	14M	14	600000	6857142	Jhajjar
45.	P-53	7310	20.03.2008	1000 Sq. Yards	-	2200000	10648000	Jhajjar
46.	P-56	1381	27.06.2008	1149 Sq. Yards	-	2300000	9688424	Jhajjar
47.	P-71	4481	29.07.2011	756 Sq. Yards	-	2300000	14724867	Jhajjar
48.	P-73	4623	03.08.2011	166.5 Sq. Yards	-	835000	24272672	Jhajjar
49.	P-74	872	06.05.2011	2K-16M	56	1750000	5000000	Jhajjar
50.	P-77	5095	22.11.2013	7A-3K-13M	1193	89475000	12000000	Jhajjar
51.	P-78	4566	21.10.2013	968 Sq. Yards	-	2425000	12125000	Jhajjar
52.	R-2	2942	04.10.2010	2K-2M	42	580000	2209523	Jhajjar
53.	R-3	4030	21.07.2011	2A-6K-17M	457	7426250	2600000	Jhajjar
54.	R-4	9231	09.11.2011	2K-5M	45	705000	2506666	Jhajjar
55.	R-5	2292	27.08.2010	2K-2M	42	577500	22000000	Jhajjar
56.	R-6	7266	26.08.2011	1A-1K-18M	798	3094000	2500202	Jhajjar
57.	R-7	3273	21.10.2010	2K-2M	42	578000	2201904	Jhajjar

Village: Sikandarpur (S.Pur)

Sr. No.	Exhibit Nos.	Sale Deed No.	Date	Total Area	Land Calculated in Marlas	Price	Price Per Acre	Village
1.	P-57	491	08.05.2006	14A-4K-1M	2321	52222500	3600000	S. pur
2.	P-58	1297	15.06.2006	7K-8M	148	3237500	3500000	S. pur

3.	P-59	825	26.05.2006	2A-7K-7M	467	11700000	4008565	S. pur
4.	P-60	1308	15.06.2006	4A-1K-19M	679	16126250	3800000	S. pur
5.	P-61	1686	30.06.2006	1A-5K-7M	267	7008750	4200000	S. pur
6.	P-62	1879	10.07.2006	5A-1K-9M	829	20143750	3887816	S. pur
7.	P-63	2357	01.08.2006	2A-5K-15M	435	11418750	4200000	S. pur
8.	P-65	2993	30.08.2006	2A-6K-4M	444	11655000	4200000	S. pur
9.	P-66	3817	18.10.2006	4A-6K-14M	774	23205000	4796899	S. pur
10.	P-67	5932	31.01.2007	2A-7M	327	8583750	4200000	S. pur

2.3 Per contra, the State examined Sh. Ajay Mann, Patwari, from the Office of Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estate, Rohtak and produced the following documentary evidence:

<i>Ex.R1</i>	<i>Sajra Plan of Sector 9A</i>
<i>Ex.R2</i>	<i>Certified copy of Sale Deed No.2942 dated 04.10.2010</i>
<i>Ex.R3</i>	<i>Certified copy of Sale Deed No.4030 dated 21.07.2011</i>
<i>Ex.R4</i>	<i>Certified copy of Sale Deed No.9231 dated 09.11.2011</i>
<i>Ex.R5</i>	<i>Certified copy of Sale Deed No.2292 dated 27.08.2010</i>
<i>Ex.R6</i>	<i>Certified copy of Sale Deed No.7266 dated 26.08.2011</i>
<i>Ex.R7</i>	<i>Certified copy of Sale Deed No.3273 dated 21.10.2010</i>

2.4 It is evident from the reading of the judgment passed by the RC that it failed to discuss the oral and documentary evidence produced by the State of Haryana while determining/deciding the case.

3. **REASONS AND ANALYSIS OF THE AWARD GIVEN BY THE REFERENCE COURT:-**

3.1 It may be noted here that the landowners have also relied upon the previous award of the RC in **Kamdhenu BUILTECK Pvt. Ltd. Vs. State of Haryana**, with respect to acquisition of land for developing Sector 7, Jhajjar. The aforesaid award has been exhibited as Ex.P-76. The sale deeds Ex.P-1 to P-74 except P-8 to P-16, have already been noticed and considered by the Court in the award Ex.P-76. The RC has found that the sale instances Ex.P-8 to Ex.P-16, are with respect to significantly small

sized plots. The RC found that the market value is to be assessed as on 01.01.2014 i.e. the date of enforcement of 2013 Act. The Court in that regard relies upon the judgment passed by the Division Bench of the Allahabad High Court in Writ (Civil) No.60276 of 2015, titled as “**Ishan International Educational Society through Director Vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others**”, **decided on 09.05.2017**. The landowners also produced sale deeds Ex.P-77 and Ex.P-78. It was found that Ex.P-78 is with respect to a very small parcel of land, whereas, Ex.P-77, dated 22.11.2015, is with respect to 59 kanals and 16 marlas of land which has been sold at the rate of Rupees One Crore Twenty Lakh per acre. The Court after finding that no layout plan to compare the location of the parcel of land sold through Ex.P-77, with the acquired land, has been produced, discounted the price by 40% to arrive at a figure of Rs.72,00,000/- per acre.

4. **ARGUMENTS MADE BY THE LEARNED COUNSELS
REPRESENTING THE PARTIES:-**

4.1 This Bench has heard the learned counsel representing the parties at length and with their able assistance perused the paperbook.

4.2 The learned counsel representing the landowners contend that the RC has erred in assessing the market value without examining Section 26 of the 2013 Act. While elaborating, the learned counsel has drawn the attention of the Court to Section 26 of the 2013 Act, which provides that the LAC is required to determine the average sale price on the basis of one half of the total number of sale deeds or registered agreements to sell in which the highest price has been reflected. It is contended that the RC committed an error in refusing to take into account one half of the total number of the sale deed produced by the landowners in which the highest sale price has been reflected. They further contend that the RC has failed to multiply the

market value by a factor to be specified in the first Schedule of the 2013 Act.

4.3 Per contra, the learned counsel representing the State has submitted that RC has committed an error in ignoring the sale deeds produced by it. It has been submitted that the entire approach of the Reference Court while deciding the case was wrong particularly when the RC failed to correctly interpret Section 26 read with first Schedule of the 2013 Act.

5. **DISCUSSION BY THIS COURT**

5.1 The issue of assessment of the market value as on 01.01.2014, i.e. the date of enforcement of 2013 Act has been discussed in detail in **Regular First Appeal No.309 of 2021, titled as “State of Haryana and another Vs. Rajbir and another”, decided on 19.04.2022.** After culling out the following issues, the matter has been analysed in an elaborate manner. For brevity the same is extracted as under:-

“1) In the present RFA, the following questions need deliberation:-

- (1) With respect to acquisition of immovable property during transitional period between the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (hereinafter referred to as the 1894 Act) and The Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013(hereinafter referred to as RFCTLARR Act, 2013') regulated by Section 24(1)(a), whether the crucial date for the assessment of the market value is the date of enforcement of the Act i.e. 01.01.2014 or the date of publication of notification u/s 4(1) of the 1894 Act?*
- (2). Whether the date from which the additional amount payable U/s 30(3) of RFCTLARR Act, 2013 @ 12% P.A. is to be calculated shall be*

01.01.2014 or the date on which notification U/s 4(1) of the 1894 was published?

- (3). *Whether the letter/communication dated 26.10.2015 is in the nature of directions issued by the Central Government in exercise of power u/s 113 of the RFCTLARR Act, 2013?*

If the answer to question (3) is in affirmative, then, the next question which would require elaboration is:-

- (4) *Whether during the transitional period, the communication dated 26.10.2015 shall supplant the provisions of the RFCTLARR Act, 2013?*

6. *The RFCTLARR Act, 2013 has come into force with effect from 1st day of January, 2014. On a careful reading of sub-section(1) of Section 26 of the RFCTLARR Act, 2013, it is evident that the Collector is required to determine the market value of the acquired land after analyzing the cases on the basis of following three criterias:-*

- (a) *On the basis of instructions issued under Indian Stamp Act, 1899 for registration of sale deeds or registered agreements to sell in the area where the acquired land is situated; or*
- (b) *The average sale price for similar type of land situated in the nearest village or nearest vicinity area; or*
- (c) *Consented amount of compensation as agreed upon under sub-section(2) of Section 2 of the RFCTLARR Act, 2013 in case of acquisition of land for private companies or for public private partnership projects.*

7. *It is further provided that the Collector is required to adopt the method which assesses the highest market value out of the three options as provided under Clause(a), (b) and (c) of sub-section(1) of Section 26 of the RFCTLARR Act, 2013. The proviso to Section 26 (1) lays down that the market value shall be determined on the date on which the notification under Section 11 of the RFCTLARR Act, 2013 was issued. Explanation-1 to Section 26(1) provides that for determining the market value under Clause (b), the average sale price is required to be assessed by taking into account the sale deeds or the agreements to sell registered for similar type of area in the nearby village or nearby vicinity area during the period immediately preceding three years of*

the year in which the notification under Section 4(2) of the RFCTLARR Act, 2013, for proposed acquisition of the land was issued. Thus, there is a substantial difference between the assessment under the old Act and under the new Act. In the RFCTLARR Act, 2013, the average sale price is calculated on the basis of the sale deeds or registered agreements to sell with respect to the period three years preceding the year in which such acquisition is proposed to be made. It is provided that the sale deeds of the year in which the notification under Section 4(2) of the RFCTLARR Act, 2013 has been issued shall be required to be excluded.

8. *Similarly, Explanation-2 provides that while determining the average sale price, one half of the total number of the sale deeds or the agreements to sell in which the highest sale price has been reflected shall be taken into account. Explanation-3 stipulates that any price paid as compensation for the land acquired under the provisions of the RFCTLARR Act, 2013 on an earlier occasion in the district shall not be taken into consideration. In other words, the previous assessment of the market value either by the Land Acquisition Collector or the Court with respect to different parcel of land by a separate notification shall be excluded from consideration while assessing the market value of the acquired land. Thereafter, Explanation-4 provides that if the Collector is of the opinion that any price paid or allegedly paid is not indicative of the prevailing market value, then, such sale deed(s) may be discarded for the purposes of calculating market value or in other words, excluded from being considered while calculating the average sale price. Further, sub-section(2) of Section 26 provides that after calculating the market value as per sub-section (1), the Collector shall multiply the same by a factor to be specified in the first schedule. Sub-section(3) further provides that where the Collector is unable to determine the market value of the acquired land under sub-section(1) or sub-section (2), then, the State Government shall specify the floor rate or minimum price per unit of the said land on the price calculated in the manner specified in sub-section (1) in respect of similar types of land situated in the immediately adjoining areas. Moreover, the various provisos to sub-section (3) provide the procedure where the acquiring body offers its shares to the owners. The last proviso to sub-section (3) provides that it shall be the duty of the appropriate government to ensure that the market value determined for acquisition of any land or property of the educational institution established or administered by a religious or linguistic minority shall be such as would not restrict or abrogate the right to establish and administer educational institutions of their*

choice.

9. Section 28 of the RFCTLARR Act, 2013 lays down the various parameters to be kept in mind by the Collector while assessing the market value. Section 30 provides that the Collector after having assessed the compensation to be paid as per Section 26 (1 and 2) (including value of things attached to land or building), shall award a solatium amount equivalent to 100% of the compensation amount. It is significant to note that the amount of solatium is required to be calculated on the compensation amount which includes the determination made by the Collector in accordance with Section 26(1), (2) and (3) of the RFCTLARR Act, 2013, as the case may be. On a careful reading of Section 27, it is evident that the Collector is required to calculate the total amount of compensation including the market value of all the assets attached to the land. Sub-section (3) of Section 30 provides that the Collector shall, in every case, award an amount calculated at the rate of twelve per cent per annum on such market value for the period commencing on and from the date of publication of the notification for conducting Social Impact Assessment study of the land proposed to be acquired under sub-section (2) of section 4 of the RFCTLARR Act, 2013, till the date of the award of the Collector or the date of taking possession of the land, whichever is earlier. However, there is an anomaly in the RFCTLARR Act, 2013. Under Section 69, the aforesaid interest is required to be calculated from the date of notification under Section 11 of the RFCTLARR Act, 2013, whereas Section 30(3) provides that the interest/additional amount shall be calculated from the date of notification under Section 4(2) of the 2013 Act.

10. On a careful reading of Section 30 of the RFCTLARR Act, 2013, it is evident that a Statute has used the expression “the total compensation” determined by the Collector. Whereas Section 26(1) of the RFCTLARR Act, 2013 uses the expression ‘the market value’. Similarly, sub-Section (2) of Section 26 uses the expression “the market value calculated as per sub-section (1) shall be multiplied by a factor to be specified in the First Schedule. The market value assessed by the Collector under sub-section (1) of Section 26 is different from the total compensation referred to in Section 30. Obviously, it would not only include the market value but also the amount which has been arrived at after multiplying the market value by a factor to be specified in the first schedule and the value of the things attached to the earth or building. On a careful reading of the first schedule, it is evident that the statute has used the expression “compensation”. The components of the minimum compensation have been

specified which include not only the market value of the land, factor and the value of the assets attached to the land or the building. This fact is further clear from Sr. No.5 in the first schedule which provides for solatium. It provides that the amount of solatium shall be equivalent to 100% of the market value of land mentioned against Sr.No.1 multiplied by the factor specified against Sr. No.2 or Sr. No.3 as the case may be. The solatium is required to be awarded after including the value of assets attached to the land or the building.

XXXX----- XXXX-----XXXX-----XXXX

30. *While answering the question No.1, it is declared that under Section 24(1)(a) during the transitional period, the market value will have to be assessed on the date of publication of notification under Section 4(1) of the 1894 Act. Similarly, the additional amount under Section 30(3) of the RFCTLARR Act, 2013 shall be calculated from the date of publication of notification under Section 4 of the 1894 Act till the date of the award or date of taking possession of the land, whichever is earlier.*

38. *From the aforesaid discussion, the conclusion is inevitable that , with greatest respect, none of the judgments as a ratio decidendi lay down that the letter dated 26.10.2015 is, in fact, an order or direction of the Central Government in the exercise of powers under Section 113 in order to remove difficulties. The observations made by the U.P High Court in any particular judgment, cannot be considered as ratio decidendi to be applied in the other judgments. In the alternative, even if it is so, then, in the humble opinion of the Court, such communication being inconsistent with the substantive provision as well as the procedural requirements for issuing them as provided under the relevant Acts is liable to be ignored. It is well settled that after the Constitution of India, a Statute enacted by the Parliament is supreme. The rules, regulations, policy decisions, instructions and executive instructions, all from a part of subordinate/delegated legislation and therefore, they cannot supersede the statutory Act.”*

5.2 In view of the aforesaid discussion, it is evident that the RC has committed more than one error while deciding the said matter. The first error is to assess the market value as 01.01.2014, which has already been noticed. The RC has also not understood the true import and interpretation of Section 26 read with the first Schedule of the 2013 Act. In Sub-Section 1

of Section 26, it is provided that the Collector at the first instance assesses and determine the market value of the land under the three different options available for the assessment. After taking into account the highest price, the Court shall proceed to adjudicate upon the same. As per the explanation 1, the average sale price shall be assessed while taking into account the sale deeds or agreement to sell registered for the similar parcels of land in the near village or the vicinity area during immediately preceding three years of the year in which such acquisition is proposed to be made. It is evident from the explanation 1, that the sale deeds of 2011, the year in which the notification under Section 4 of the 1894 Act was issued are required to be excluded from consideration. As per Sub-Section 2 for determining the average sale price, one half of the total number of the sale deeds or agreement to sell reflecting the highest price shall be required to be taken into an account.

5.3 Explanation 3 provides that while determining the market value, the amount assessed by the Court on an earlier occasion shall not be taken into consideration.

5.4 Explanation 4 permits the Reference Court/Authority to discard the sale deeds which do not reflect the correct market value, i.e. sale deeds which do not indicate the actual prevailing market value.

5.5 Sub-Section 2 then mandates that the market value as assessed under Section 26(1) shall be multiplied by a factor as specified in the first Schedule. The RC has not performed the said multiplication. The RC has also erred in ignoring the sale instances produced by the State. It may be noted here that the State had produced the various sale deed/exemplars. As already noticed, the RC has not even discussed the sale deeds produced by

the State.

5.6 The 2013 Act has been enforced w.e.f. 2014 and the cases have started arising therefrom, therefore, it appears that the RC failed to take notice of the provisions of the Act in a proper perspective either due to lack of proper assistance or otherwise.

6. **DECISION**

6.1 Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the awards dated 21.01.2019, 10.05.2019, 13.08.2019, and 05.09.2019, are set aside while remitting the cases back to the RC/Authority to decide afresh, after grant of opportunity to both the parties to lead further evidence, if any. The parties through their counsel are directed to appear before the RC on 25.05.2022. The RC is requested to dispose of the matter within a period of six months, from today.

6.2 All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

02nd May, 2022

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Ay

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

Sr. No.	Case No.	Party name
1.	RFA-3175-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S SANGEETA AND OTHER
2.	RFA-3196-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S SMT. BIMLA AND OTHERS
3.	RFA-165-2020	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S RAM CHANDER AND OTHERS
4.	RFA-3202-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S BALBIR SINGH AND OTHERS

5.	RFA-3237-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S SMT. RAMPYARI NOW (DECEASED) THROUGH HER LRS AND ANOTHER
6.	RFA-2887-2019	RANDHIR SINGH AND ANR V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
7.	RFA-3207-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S BHARAT SINGH AND OTHERS
8.	RFA-3233-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S SMT. RAMPYARI NOW DECEASED THROUGH HER LRS AND OTHERS
9.	RFA-3220-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S BIMLA DEVI AND ANOTHER
10.	RFA-161-2020	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S RAM PAL AND OTHERS
11.	RFA-164-2020	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S RAM CHANDER AND OTHERS
12.	RFA-3235-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S SMT. NIHALI AND OTHERS
13.	RFA-271-2020	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S RISHAL SINGH AND OTHERS
14.	RFA-3252-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S SURESH CHAND AND ORS
15.	RFA-159-2020	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S SATBIR AND OTHERS
16.	RFA-3186-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S SHRI BHAGWAN AND OTHERS
17.	RFA-3187-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S BHARAT SINGH AND OTHERS
18.	RFA-160-2020	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S RAMESH CHANDER AND OTHERS
19.	RFA-2665-2019	SMT. BIMLA V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
20.	RFA-3192-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S SHRI BHAGWAN AND OTHERS

21.	RFA-3197-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S SMT. ANITA AND OTHERS
22.	RFA-3194-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S BAKHTAWAR AND OTHERS
23.	RFA-3208-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S SMT. ASMITA AND ANOTHER
24.	RFA-3213-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S YASHPAL AND ANOTHER
25.	RFA-3211-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S HOSHIYAR SINGH AND OTHER
26.	RFA-3191-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S SATBIR AND OTHERS
27.	RFA-3203-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S BAKHTAWAR AND OTHERS
28.	RFA-3205-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S SHRI. BHAGWAN AND OTHERS
29.	RFA-3212-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S SH. DHARAMPAL AND OTHERS
30.	RFA-3193-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S SH. SANJEEV KUMAR AND OTHERS
31.	RFA-3204-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S SH. CHANDER SINGH AND ANOTHER
32.	RFA-3210-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S SMT. KALAWATI AND OTHERS
33.	RFA-3190-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S BAKHTAWAR AND OTHERS
34.	RFA-3209-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S AJIT SINGH AND OTHERS
35.	RFA-3200-2019	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S SMT. MISHARO DEVI AND ANOTHER

36.	RFA-3201-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S BABITA DEVI AND OTHERS
37.	RFA-3198-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S SMT. SUNHERI DEVI AND OTHERS
38.	RFA-3195-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S JAGAT SINGH ALIAS JAGAT NARAYAN AND OTHERS
39.	RFA-567-2021	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S RAMESHWARI NOW DECEASED THROUGH HER LRS AND ORS
40.	RFA-568-2021	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S PUSHPA DEVI AND ANR
41.	RFA-578-2021	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S JAGE RAM DECEASED THR HIS LRS AND ANR
42.	RFA-3495-2019	SUBHASH V/S THE STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
43.	RFA-65-2020	KIRAN DEVI V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS
44.	RFA-573-2021	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S RAKESH KUMAR AND ANR
45.	RFA-574-2021	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S SUBHASH AND ANR
46.	RFA-575-2021	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S SMT. KIRAN DEVI AND ANR
47.	RFA-576-2021	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S MAMAN SINGH AND ORS
48.	RFA-572-2021	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S CHANDERPATI SINCE DECEASED THROUGH HER LRS AND ORS
49.	RFA-852-2020	JAGE RAM THROUGH LRS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
50.	RFA-577-2021	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S SAROJ AND ANR
51.	RFA-588-2021	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S RAMESH CHANDER AND ANR
52.	RFA-3684-2019	KRISHAN AND OTHERS V/S THE STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
53.	RFA-3016-2019	SMT. BABITA DEVI AND ANOTHER V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

54.	RFA-2620-2019	SATBIR V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
55.	RFA-2494-2019	MAHENDER V/S THE STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
56.	RFA-32-2020	MAHENDER SINGH V/S THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS
57.	RFA-2502-2019	SHRI BHAGWAN AND ORS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS
58.	RFA-2443-2019	SHRI BHAGWAN AND ORS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS
59.	RFA-2406-2019	AJIT SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
60.	RFA-2490-2019	MAHENDER SINGH V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
61.	RFA-2423-2019	SMT. SANGEETA AND ANR V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS
62.	RFA-2486-2019	ZILE SINGH AND ORS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS
63.	RFA-4288-2019	BAKHTAWAR AND OTHERS V/S THE STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
64.	RFA-425-2020	RAMESH CHANDER AND ANOTHER V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
65.	RFA-2249-2019	SMT. CHAMPA AND ORS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS
66.	RFA-2491-2019	MAHENDER SINGH V/S THE STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
67.	RFA-2492-2019	HARISH KUMAR AND OTHERS V/S THE STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
68.	RFA-2548-2019	RANJIT (SINCE DECEASED) THROUGH LRS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS
69.	RFA-4169-2019	DHARAMPAL AND OTHERS V/S THE STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
70.	RFA-2748-2019	SURESH CHAND AND OTHERS V/S THE STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
71.	RFA-2485-2019	ZILE SINGH AND ORS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS
72.	RFA-2638-2019	SATBIR AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
73.	RFA-2242-2019	SMT. SUNHERI DEVI AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
74.	RFA-4285-2019	BAKHTAWAR AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

75.	RFA-2550-2019	HOSHIYAR SINGH AND ORS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS
76.	RFA-3766-2019	BAKHTAWAR AND OTHERS V/S THE STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
77.	RFA-2493-2019	SHRI BHAGWAN SINGH AND ORS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS
78.	RFA-2489-2019	MAHENDER V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
79.	RFA-2549-2019	BHIM SINGH V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS
80.	RFA-2619-2019	SHRI KIRORI V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
81.	RFA-2497-2019	RANDHIR SINGH AND ANR V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS
82.	RFA-2543-2019	SHRI BHAGWAN AND OTHERS V/S THE STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
83.	RFA-853-2020	SANJEEV KUMAR AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS
84.	RFA-874-2020	LAKSHMI AND ANR V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
85.	RFA-873-2020	ANIL KUMAR AND ORS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
86.	RFA-2445-2019	SMT. BIMLA AND ORS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS
87.	RFA-2618-2019	RAMESH CHANDER @ RAMESH KUMAR AND ANOTHER V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
88.	RFA-2501-2019	SHRI BHAGWAN AND ORS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
89.	RFA-1862-2021	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S MALKHAN SINGH THROUGH HIS LRS AND OTHERS
90.	RFA-1854-2021	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S SHYAM LAL AND OTHERS
91.	RFA-1812-2021	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S KISHAN AND OTHERS
92.	RFA-1819-2021	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S DILWAR AND OTHERS
93.	RFA-1830-2021	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S MADAN LAL AND ANOTHER
94.	RFA-1847-2021	THE STATE OF HARYANA V/S KISHAN AND OTHERS

95.	RFA-1824-2021	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S RAJESH KUMAR AND OTHERS
96.	RFA-1804-2021	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S RAM CHANDER AND OTHERS
97.	RFA-1873-2021	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S KISHAN AND OTHERS
98.	RFA-1836-2021	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S RAKESH AND ANR.
99.	RFA-1808-2021	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S SMT. ANGNI DEVI AND OTHERS
100.	RFA-1884-2021	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S ANGNI DEVI AND OTHERS
101.	RFA-1681-2021	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S DILWAR AND OTHERS
102.	RFA-229-2020	AJIT AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
103.	RFA-226-2020	JACKY V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
104.	RFA-224-2020	AJIT AND OTHERS V/S THE STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
105.	RFA-196-2020	AJIT AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
106.	RFA-231-2020	DILAWAR SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
107.	RFA-227-2020	AJIT AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
108.	RFA-225-2020	AJIT AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
109.	RFA-228-2020	CHANDAN LAL V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
110.	RFA-230-2020	KOSHLA DEVI V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
111.	RFA-549-2020	SMT. CHANDRAWATI V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS
112.	RFA-571-2021	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S DILAWAR SINGH AND OTHERS
113.	RFA-589-2021	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S AJIT AND OTHERS
114.	RFA-579-2021	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S CHANDRAWATI AND ANOTHER
115.	RFA-581-2021	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S KOSHALYA DEVI AND ANOTHER

116.	RFA-580-2021	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S JACKY AND ANOTHER
117.	RFA-570-2021	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S CHANDAN LAL AND ANOTHER
118.	RFA-584-2021	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S AJIT AND OTHERS
119.	RFA-591-2021	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S AJIT AND OTHERS
120.	RFA-590-2021	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S AJIT AND OTHERS
121.	RFA-569-2021	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S AJIT AND OTHERS
122.	RFA-2023-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S CHAMPA AND OTHERS
123.	RFA-3174-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S SH. JAI PARKASH AND OTHERS
124.	RFA-3184-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S MAHENDER AND ANOTHER
125.	RFA-3185-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S RANJIT AND ANOTHER
126.	RFA-3182-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S SMT. BIMLA AND ANOTHER
127.	RFA-3050-2019	VINOD KUMAR V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
128.	RFA-3179-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S BHIM SINGH AND ANOTHER
129.	RFA-3018-2019	SH. JAI PARKASH V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
130.	RFA-3180-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S SHRI BHAGWAN AND OTHERS
131.	RFA-3181-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S VINOD KUMAR AND OTHERS
132.	RFA-2139-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S RAMESH CHANDER ALIAS RAMESH KUMAR AND OTHERS

133.	RFA-2997-2019	SATBIR AND ANOTHER V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
134.	RFA-2998-2019	RAMESH CHANDER @ RAMESH KUMAR AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
135.	RFA-3176-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S SMT. SAHAB KAUR AND OTHERS
136.	RFA-3183-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S RISHAL SINGH AND OTHERS
137.	RFA-3177-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S RANDHIR SINGH AND OTHERS
138.	RFA-3051-2019	KRISHAN AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
139.	RFA-3178-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S RANDHIR SINGH AND OTHERS
140.	RFA-1650-2019	SMT. RAMPYARI THROUGH LRS AND ANOTHER V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
141.	RFA-1654-2019	BHARAT SINGH AND ANOTHER V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
142.	RFA-2083-2019	BIMLA DEVI V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
143.	RFA-1875-2019	CHANDER SINGH V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
144.	RFA-1651-2019	SMT. NIHALI AND ANOTHER V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
145.	RFA-1652-2019	SMT. RAM PYARI THROUGH LRS AND ANOTHER V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
146.	RFA-1653-2019	BALBIR SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
147.	RFA-1301-2019	SHAMSHER SINGH AND ORS. V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
148.	RFA-1649-2019	BHARAT SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
149.	RFA-1658-2019	VINOD KUMAR AND ANOTHER V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
150.	RFA-3217-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S SMT. SHANTI AND OTHERS

151.	RFA-3251-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S SHAMSHER SINGH AND ANOTHER
152.	XOBJR-154-2019	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S YASHPAL AND ANOTHER
153.	RFA-2202-2019	MUNNI DEVI V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
154.	RFA-3216-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S SMT. MUNNI DEVI AND ANOTHER
155.	RFA-3232-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S SMT. LAKSHMI DEVI AND OTHERS
156.	RFA-3236-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S HARYANA WAKF BOARD AND ANOTHER
157.	RFA-3215-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S SH. KIRORI AND ANOTHER
158.	RFA-3219-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S SHRI BHAGWAN AND OTHERS
159.	RFA-3234-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S MAHENDER AND ANOTHER
160.	RFA-3230-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S SATBIR AND OTHERS
161.	RFA-3214-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S SANTOSH DEVI AND OTHER
162.	RFA-3231-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S KRISHAN AND OTHERS
163.	RFA-3218-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S RAMESH CHANDER ALIAS RAMESH KUMAR AND OTHERS
164.	RFA-3221-2019	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S KRISHAN AND OTHERS
165.	RFA-3822-2019	DILAWAR AND ORS V/S THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

166.	RFA-2980-2019	RAKESH V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
167.	RFA-4238-2019	SHYAM LAL AND OTHERS V/S THE STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
168.	RFA-3818-2019	MADAN LAL V/S THE STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
169.	RFA-4340-2019	KHAZAN AND OTHERS V/S THE STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
170.	RFA-3342-2019	OM PARKASH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
171.	RFA-4231-2019	SHYAM LAL AND OTHERS V/S THE STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
172.	RFA-3816-2019	KISHAN AND ANOTHER V/S THE STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
173.	RFA-4232-2019	SHYAM LAL AND OTHERS V/S THE STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
174.	RFA-3813-2019	KISHAN AND ANOTHER V/S THE STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
175.	RFA-2590-2019	SURAJ BHAN V/S THE STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
176.	RFA-2991-2019	ANGNI DEVI THROUGH LRS AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
177.	RFA-2593-2019	OM PARKASH V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
178.	RFA-3815-2019	KISHAN AND ANOTHER V/S THE STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
179.	RFA-3819-2019	MADAN LAL V/S THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS
180.	RFA-3820-2019	DILAWAR AND ORS V/S THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS
181.	RFA-3821-2019	DILAWAR AND OTHERS V/S THE STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
182.	RFA-1574-2021	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S SHYAM LAL AND OTHERS
183.	RFA-1611-2021	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S SURAJBHAN AND ANOTHER
184.	RFA-1631-2021	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S MADAN LAL AND ANOTHER
185.	RFA-1627-2021	THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S SHYAM LAL AND OTHERS

186.	RFA-1624-2021	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S OM PARKASH AND OTHERS
187.	RFA-1604-2021	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S MADAN LAL AND ANOTHER
188.	RFA-1594-2021	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S DILWAR AND OTHERS
189.	RFA-1638-2021	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S OM PARKASH AND ANOTHER
190.	RFA-1587-2021	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S DILWAR AND ORS.
191.	RFA-2979-2019	ANGNI DEVI THROUGH LRS AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
192.	RFA-3814-2019	DILAWAR AND OTHERS V/S THE STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
193.	RFA-3817-2019	MADAN LAL V/S THE STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
194.	RFA-1665-2021	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S MALKHAN SINGH (DECEASED) THR LRS. AND OTHERS
195.	RFA-1646-2021	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S MALKHAN SINGH (DECEASED) THR LRS. AND OTHERS
196.	RFA-1659-2021	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S MALKHAN SINGH (DECEASED) THR LRS. AND OTHERS

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE