

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

233

CRM-M-42061-2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 19.09.2022

Ajaib Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & another ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. G.S.Sirphikhi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Siddharth Attri, AAG, Punjab,
assisted by ASI Baljinder Singh.

Mr. Jitesh Garg, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has approached this Court seeking grant of regular bail in respect of a case registered against him vide FIR No.327 dated 22.07.2022 at Police Station Sohana, District SAS Nagar (Mohali), under Sections 406/419/420/465/467/468/471/120-B IPC, wherein the allegations are broadly to the effect that petitioner alongwith 4 other accused had duped the complainant for an amount of Rs.15 lakhs approximately on the pretext of sale of land.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the name of the petitioner came to be included in the FIR due to some kind of misunderstanding, which has now been resolved and the matter between the petitioner and the complainant has now been compromised and the complainant does not have any objection for grant of bail to the petitioner.

3. Mr. Jitesh Garg, Advocate, has today put in appearance on behalf of the complainant/respondent No.2 and has filed his vakalatnama, which is taken on record. He has endorsed the factum of compromise and has stated that he has no objection for grant of bail to the petitioner.
4. Learned State counsel has, however, opposed the petition on the ground that having regard to the serious nature of allegations, no case for grant of bail is made out. It has, however, been informed that the petitioner as on date has been behind bars since the last about 1 month & 7 days and that he is not involved in any other case.
5. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case particularly the fact that the matter is stated to have been compromised amongst the petitioner and the complainant, further detention of the petitioner will not serve any useful purpose. The petition, as such, is accepted and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

19.09.2022

Vimal

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: **Yes/No**

Whether reportable: **Yes/No**