

212

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-5214-2017

Date of decision: 29.08.2022

MANOJ KUMAR

...Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. B. S. Ichhewal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sehajbir Singh Aulakh, AAG, Punjab.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This is a petition that has been filed seeking to challenge the corrigendum dated 20.12.2016 that was issued pursuant to advertisement dated 15.10.2016 inviting the applications for recruitment of Male Constable (Drivers) in District Police Cadre of Punjab Police.

Learned counsel for the petitioner herein would contend that the advertisement was issued on 15.10.2016 and the criteria for selection was written test along with the driving proficiency test. However, by way of corrigendum, the driving test was done away with and the result was to be prepared wholly on the basis of written examination only.

The case as set up is that after the advertisement had been issued, there could be no change in the “*rules of the game*”. However, he very fairly would place on record the judgment in *CWP No.2 of 2017*, titled as *Aditya*

Marwaha and others versus State of Punjab and others, decided on 22.01.2021, wherein the said issue was considered and writ petitions filed challenging the corrigendum issued stand dismissed.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have also taken note that LPA stands admitted against the order dated 22.01.2021, however, there is no stay on the impugned decision dated 22.01.2021.

Consequently, the instant writ petition is disposed of in terms of the decision already rendered in *CWP No.2 of 2017*.

29.08.2022
Chetan Thakur

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether reportable : *Yes/No*