

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.108

CRM-M No.42240 of 2022

Date of Decision: 14.09.2022

Veena Kumari

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Ms. Srishti Shukla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

After arguing for a while, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition while submitting that the petitioner would avail the appropriate, alternative and efficacious remedy, as may be permissible to her under law, to seek the redressal of her grievance, as put forth in this petition.

Mr. Arun Gupta, learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, who has appeared on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4 in this case in pursuance of the copies of the present petition having been sent to the respondent-State in advance, has no objection for the same.

Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed for having been withdrawn accordingly.

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE**

14.09.2022

neetu

*Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable*

*Yes
No*