

COCp-2964-2019

Date of Decision :03.03.2022

Jasbir Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Vikas Heera

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Sarbjit Singh Khaira, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition under Sections 10 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 is for taking action against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/2 dated 15.09.2017 in CWP No.20965 of 2017 as well as order, Annexure P/5 dated 17.01.2019 in COCP No.2969 of 2018.

[2] At the outset, learned counsel contends that during the pendency of the instant petition, the respondent has complied with order, Annexure P/2 dated 15.09.2017 in CWP No.20965 of 2017 as well as order, Annexure P/5 dated 17.01.2019 in COCP No.2969 of 2018 and the petitioner has received the entire payment due to him as per his entitlement, therefore, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the instant petition and the same may be disposed of as such.

[3] Learned AAG also states that in view of the needful having been done and the orders of this Court as referred to above having been complied with, the instant petition be disposed of as not calling for any

[4] I have considered the submissions of learned counsel.

[5] Admittedly, order, Annexure P/2 dated 15.09.2017 in CWP No.20965 of 2017 as well as order, Annexure P/5 dated 17.01.2019 in COCP No.2969 of 2018 have been complied with and payment as per the entitlement of the petitioner has been made to him.

[6] Accordingly, in the light of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the parties, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

[7] Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

03.03.2022
'Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No