

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

206

CRM-M-36572-2019

Date of Decision:18.08.2022

Satish Kumar Singh and others

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Naresh Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate for the complainant.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Learned State counsel has opposed the bail on the ground that despite summoning three times, although they joined the investigation but not cooperated and the recovery is yet to be effected. Therefore the State wants custodial investigation of the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the complainant is also opposing the bail on the similar grounds.

Be that as it may, vide order dated 09.09.2019, the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court had granted interim bail to the petitioners and after that there is no complaint against them for causing any harm to the complainant or hamper investigation.

Given above, petition is allowed and the interim order dated 09.09.2019 is made absolute, subject to the following conditions:-

1. Within ten days, the petitioners shall mention details of all assets, held either individually or jointly, including bank balances, fixed deposits, DEMAT Accounts, to the Investigator and its copy to the complainant/victim(s).

2. Given the nature of the allegations and the other circumstances peculiar to this case, the petitioners shall surrender all weapons, firearms, ammunition, if any, along with the arms license to the concerned authority within ten days from today and inform the Investigator about the compliance. However, subject to the Indian Arms Act, 1959, the petitioner shall be entitled to renew and take it back in case of acquittal in this case, provided otherwise permissible in the concerned rules.

All Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

18.08.2022
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No