

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-46224-2021

Date of Decision: 21.01.2022

Taranjeet Singh @ Vansh Atwal

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. D.D. Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. G.C. Shahpuri, Advocate, for the complainant.

Through Video Conferencing

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J.(Oral)

At the outset, Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana has stated on instructions from ASI Raj Kumar that in pursuance of the orders passed by this Court on 03.11.2021 the petitioner has already joined investigation and he is fully cooperating with the investigation process and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

The learned counsel for the complainant has opposed the grant of bail on the ground that the matter is serious in nature and the petitioner is involved in other cases also and the complainant has received 11 injuries.

The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the injuries were simple in nature and the petitioner has fully cooperated with the investigation process.

In view of the stand taken by the learned State counsel that petitioner is not required for custodial interrogation, the present petition is allowed and the order dated 03.11.2021 is hereby made absolute.

21.01.2022
rakesh

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No