

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**XOBJR-92-2021 (O&M) in/and
RFA No.3981 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 04.11.2022**

State of Haryana and another

...Appellant(s)

Versus

Smt. Sheobai

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, A.A.G., Haryana
Mr. Harsh Vardhan, A.A.G. Haryana.

Mr. J.P. Sharma, Advocate
Mr. Divanshu Jain, Advocate
Mr. Karan Gupta, Advocate
Mr. U.K. Agnihotri, Advocate
Mr. Shashikant Gupta, Advocate
Ms. Priya, Advocate
for Mr. Rohit Mittal, Advocate
for the landowners.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.

CM-3358-CI-2021 in XOBJR-92-2021

The prayer in this application is to condone the delay of 332 days in filing the cross-objections.

Admittedly, the connected appeals arising from the same notification under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, are pending. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Imrat Lal and others vs. Land Acquisition Collector and others (SC) 2015(2) RCR (Civil) 437, Dhiraj Singh (deceased) thr. LRs vs. Haryana State and others, 2015(2) RCR (Civil) 507 and Jethu Ram (deceased) thr. LRs vs. Union of India and others, 2016(4) Law Herald 3166**, has held that in such cases the delay be condoned subject to the denial of interest to the appellants for the period of delay.

Hence, the application is allowed and delay of 332 days in filing the cross-objections is condoned, subject to the condition that the cross-objector/landowner shall not be entitled to interest for the period of delay.

Main Case:

For orders, see order of even date passed in **Regular First Appeal No.3919 of 2018**, titled as **State of Haryana and Anr. Vs. Rajbir and Ors.**

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

04.11.2022
Sachin M.

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes
Whether reportable?	No