

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

106

CRWP-8923-2022

Date of Decision: September 16, 2022

Vinay Mahajan

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Ms. Bhupinder Pal Kaur Brar, Advocate for the petitioner(s)

Mr. Harsimar Singh Sitta, DAG, Punjab

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Notices served upon the official respondent(s) through the State's counsel.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that she would be satisfied in case directions may be issued to concerned Jail Authorities to decide the representation dated 10.09.2022 (Annexure P-3), vide which extension of parole has been sought, within time bound manner.

The prayer is not opposed.

Given above, the concerned Superintendent Jail to decide the representation dated 10.09.2022 (Annexure P-3) on or before 23.09.2022.

In case, the grievance of the petitioner still exists, he shall be at liberty to avail legal remedy in accordance with law including approaching this Court.

The petition is allowed to the extent mentioned above. Pending application, if any, stands disposed of.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

September 16, 2022

sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	No