

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

105

CWP No.21249 of 2022

Date of Decision: 16.09.2022

Lakhwinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present:- Mr. Harkirat Singh Bhogal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

VIKAS SURI, J. (Oral)

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw this petition with liberty to approach the concerned Court, in terms of the notification bearing No. G.S.R. 570(E) dated 25.08.1993.

A perusal of the order dated 14.07.2022 (Annexure P-2) passed in CRM-2105/2022 by the Judge Special Court, Ludhiana and the prayer noticed in the said order, shows that apparently a misconceived application had been filed and as such the same was dismissed, as not maintainable.

Assistance of Ms. Ambika Bedi, AAG, Punjab, has been taken with regard to the above. She submits that there possibly could be no objection to the petitioner taking recourse to remedy provided under law.

It is made clear that the order dated 14.07.2002 will not come in the way of the petitioner to approach the concerned Court afresh with an appropriate application, in accordance with the statutory provisions contained in the aforesaid notification dated 25.08.1993.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as aforesaid.

September 16, 2022

vcgarg

**(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether reportable

No