

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-8876-2022

Date of decision : 14.09.2022

Twinkle

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Narender Kumar Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is a Criminal Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus for directing respondent nos.2 to 4 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and her minor son.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that the petitioner has given a representation dated 12.08.2022 in which primarily three prayers have been made. The first prayer is for protection of life and liberty of the petitioner and her minor son, the second prayer is to recover the Ishtridhan and third prayer is to take legal action against Neeraj Kumar. It is submitted that the petitioner is only pressing the prayer for protection of life and liberty of her and her minor son and she would be satisfied if, only the aspect with respect to protection of life and liberty of the petitioner is taken into consideration by respondent no.2.

Notice of motion to respondent nos.1 to 3 only.

On advance notice, Mr. Dhruv Sihag, AAG, Haryana appears

and accepts notice on behalf of respondent nos.1 to 3 and on a specific query put by this Court, he has stated that he has no objection in case, respondent no.2-Superintendent of Police, looks into the representation dated 12.08.2022 (Annexure P-1) with a limited prayer for only protection of life and liberty of the petitioner and her minor son and takes appropriate action, in accordance with law.

After considering the facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court deems it appropriate to direct respondent no.2-Superintendent of Police, Panipat to look into the representation dated 12.08.2022 (Annexure P-1) with a limited prayer for only protection of life and liberty of the petitioner and her minor son and after making necessary enquiries and assessing the threat perception to the petitioner and her minor son, respondent no.2 shall take appropriate action, in accordance with law.

It is, however, clarified that this Court has not gone into the merits of the allegations made by the petitioner and it would be open to respondent no.2 to make his independent enquiry/assessment with regard to the representations with a limited prayer aforesaid.

In view of what has been observed above, the present Criminal Writ petition is disposed of in the abovesaid terms.

It is, however, clarified that this order shall not debar the State from proceeding against the petitioner, if involved in any case.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

September 14, 2022.

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No