

119

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRWP-8849-2022

Date of Decision:-14.09.2022

Meena Devi and anr.

.....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Haryana and ors.

.....Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present:- Mr. Karandeep Singh, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, DAG, Haryana.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that this is the first marriage between the petitioners.

Petitioner no.1 is stated to be born on 01.01.1984 and for this purpose, reference has been made to Aadhaar card (Annexure P-1). Petitioner no.2 is stated to be born on 01.12.1995 and for the said purpose, reference has been made to Aadhaar card (Annexure P-2). It is stated that petitioner No.1 had earlier solemnized marriage with Jasvir Singh, who had died on 30.10.2017. Two children were born out of this wedlock, though petitioner No.2 is unmarried. Now petitioner No.1 has solemnized another marriage with petitioner No.2 on 08.09.2022.

The petitioners have prayed for issuance of necessary directions to the official respondents for protecting their civil/personal rights and liberties from being invaded by the private respondents. Petitioners are

alleged to have solemnized marriage on 08.09.2022. They feel reasonable apprehension and threat perception at the hands of the private respondents and pray that their personal/civil rights be not violated by them.

Precisely, in the context of aforesaid relief, petitioners have approached the Superintendent of Police, Kurukshetra-respondent No.2 by way of representation dated 08.09.2022, Annexure P-4.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3-State.

At this stage, without expressing any opinion as to the validity of the marriage and majority of the petitioners, it would be just and appropriate to direct respondent No.2 to look into the grievance of the petitioners and pass an appropriate order on merits in accordance with law. Respondent No.2 would pass necessary order without being influenced by any pleadings made in the petition.

This order shall not debar the official respondents from proceeding against any of the parties, if they are involved in any other case. It would be appreciated if the needful is done within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)

JUDGE

September 14, 2022

sukhpreet

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>