

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-22218-2021

Date of Decision: 09.02.2022

Aanchal Kumar

....Petitioner

VS

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. C.S. Singhal, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, AAG Haryana

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Advocate and
Mr. Viresh Dahiya, Advocate
for respondent No. 3

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for respondent No. 3 submits that while passing the impugned order dated 16.08.2021 it was brought to the notice of the petitioner that in case he applies in accordance with the procedure prescribed, the case will be re-considered. The petitioner did not make an application in accordance with the procedure prescribed and, thus, the necessary relief could not be granted. He may do so now.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would make a fresh application in accordance with the prescribed procedure and accompanied by the relevant fee.

In view of the above statement, the writ petition stands disposed of.

09.02.2022*reena***(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**