

224

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-41764-2022

Date of Decision: 11.11.2022

GANESH MEHTO

..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Kanwaljeet Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Digvijay Singh, AAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

Through instant petition under Section 439 of Code of Criminal Code, 1973, the petitioner is seeking bail in FIR No.59 dated 09.06.2022, registered at Police Station Doraha, District Ludhaina under Section 420 IPC, 1860 and Sections 103 and 104 of Trade Marks Act, 1999.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this Court vide order dated 26.08.2022 in CRM-M-37292-2022 has granted concession of regular bail to co-accused Vicky. He further submits that role of the present petitioner is identical to role of the accused.

Learned State counsel submitted custody certificate dated 10.11.2022 showing custody of 5 months and 1 day which is taken on record. Learned State counsel does not dispute the fact that co-accused Vicky has already been granted benefit of regular bail and role of petitioner is at par with role of co-accused Vicky.

In view of the conceded facts and order passed by

Coordinate Bench of this Court in CRM-M-37292-2022, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

If the petitioner or his family members/associates make any attempt to threat/intimidate the witnesses in the present case, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of bail granted by this order.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

11.11.2022
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>