

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

139

CWP-20924-2022

Decided on : 14.09.2022

Anil Kumar

. . . Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

PRESENT: Mr. Ravish Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner has been transferred vide order dated 28.08.2022 and that too contradicting provisions of the transfer policy. Learned counsel submits that the teacher who had lesser marks, have been given the option which were opted by the petitioner which act of the respondent is totally contradictory and illegal. Learned counsel further submits that grievance made in the present petition has already been raised by the petitioner in the representation dated 28.08.2022 (Annexure P-5) which is still pending consideration and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage in case, a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and raises no objection for deciding the

representation dated 28.08.2022 (Annexure P-5) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order within a period of next 10 days. Till then, the petitioners shall not be relieved from the present place of posting or in case relieved, will not be forced to join the new place of posting till the decision of the said representation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

14.09.2022
Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No