

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.4676 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 18.05.2022**

Amritpal Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present:- Mr. N.S.Sodhi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Akshita Chauhan, AAG, Punjab for the respondent(s).

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution, *inter alia*, for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the impugned Notice dated 05.06.2014 (P-3), whereby Advt. No.2 of 2011 dated 12.10.2011 (P-1) was withdrawn.

Learned State Counsel on instructions submits that above action of the respondents was challenged before this Court through CWP No.15409 of 2014 and which was decided on 17.12.2016 in the following manner:-

“Accordingly, I am of the considered view that the writ petitions bearing CWP Nos. 15409, 19535, 18789 of 2014, 16197, 17322, 16443, 19943, 20630, 20488, 25625, 26405, 7765, 16375, 853, 21591 of 2015, 1146, 9000, 10436, 12278, 1402, 14852, 15662, 19647, 19755, 17737, 17957, 19223, 19434, 21646, 22850, 23449, 23731, 23811, 23853, 23488, 25583, 25859, 26120, 26164, 26234 and 26235 of 2016 deserve to be allowed and impugned notice dated

5.6.2011 (Annexure P-3) vide which the selection process was cancelled is hereby quashed.

However, the respondents are directed to consider the claim of the petitioners and fulfil the requirement of physical test by adopting the criteria as per advertisement and prepare a fresh merit list. In case, they are found to be eligible by considering the criteria as mentioned in the advertisement and corrigendum, they be considered for appointment against the vacancies which are lying vacant. The necessary exercise be done within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

She further submitted that in compliance of the above directions of this Court, fresh exercise was undertaken, but none of the petitioners is falling within the merit list. The complete details of marks, shown in para 12 of the reply, are as under:-

Sr. No.	Category	No. of Posts	Cuff Marks for interview	No. of Candidates called for interview	Final cut of marks after interview
1.	General	220	26	602	29
2.	SC-VMS	78	23	389	25.63
3.	SC-Others	78	24	450	26.38
4.	BC	61	25	262	26.63
5.	EX-Serviceman GC	37	22	149	25.75
6.	EX-Serviceman SC-VMS	11	18	34	24
7.	EX-Serviceman SC-Others	10	19	34	24.88
8.	EX-Serviceman BC	10	19	16	22.13
9.	Sportsman GC	11	19	32	25.33
10.	Sportsman SC-VMS	03	0	0	
11.	Sportsman SC-Others	02	19	01	20
12.	Sportsman BC	0	0	02	
13.	Wards of Freedom Fighters	05	24	26	27

Faced with the above situation, learned Counsel for the petitioner does not press the present petition any further.

In view of the fair stand taken by learned Counsel for the petitioner, present petition is disposed off.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

May 18th, 2022
Gagan

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No