

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.224

CRM-M-46986-2021

Date of decision: 02.03.2022

Vishal Maan

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. S.S. Bairagi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing.

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for the grant of regular bail in FIR No.65 dated 18.01.2020, registered under Section 10 of Immigration Act and Section 420 IPC at Police Station City Thanesar, District Kurukshetra.

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he does not press the present petition on its merits and would be satisfied if the same is disposed of with a direction to the Trial Court to dispose of the petitioner's trial in a time bound manner.

Learned State counsel has no objection to the acceptance of the above alternate prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

Since the petitioner, who faces 23 criminal cases of similar nature, is in custody from 10.06.2020 and for the reason that there are only 07 prosecution witnesses remaining to be examined in the petitioner's trial

as also because every under trial has a right to a speedy trial, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Trial Court to finally dispose of the petitioner's trial within 06 months from the next date fixed before it, in accordance with law.

It is clarified that the afore direction is subject to the cooperation by the petitioner / counsel appearing for him before the trial Court.

March 02, 2022
Jyoti 1

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No