

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

140

CWP No.20673 of 2022
Date of Decision: 12.09.2022

Jitender

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sandeep Goyat, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the petitioner has not completed minimum stay of five years at the present place of posting but he has been transferred on 06.09.2022 despite the fact that minimum number of students required to be taught do exist at the present place of posting as there is no other teacher available.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that without actually verifying the facts with regard to the number of students at the present place of posting, the order transferring the petitioner is arbitrary and illegal.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the petitioner has any grievance qua the transfer, he can approach the competent authorities by filing appropriate representation within a period of two days and in case, any such grievance is raised, the same shall be decided within a period of 10 days thereafter by passing an appropriate speaking order. In case, the petitioner has not been relieved so far from the present place of posting then he will be allowed to continue at the present place till the decision of the said

representation in case, the same is filed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to approach the respondents by filing appropriate representation raising the grievance as raised in the present petition.

Ordered accordingly.

12.09.2022
manju

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No