

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

102+243

CM-7793-CWP-2022 in/&
CWP-4561-2017
Date of Decision :28.10.2022

Babu Lal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Vivek Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana

Mr. Raghubir Tejpal, Advocate for applicant-respondent No.4.

Mr. Anurag Goyal, Advocate for respondent-University.

Mr. Karamvir Singh Banyana, Advocate for respondent No.11.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

CM-7793-CWP-2022

As prayed for, application is allowed.

Written statement filed on behalf of respondent No.4 is taken
on record.

CWP-4561-2017

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the claim of the petitioner in the present writ petition is for the grant of regular promotion to the post of lecturer, which was being denied to the petitioner only on the ground that the petitioner has obtained M.Tech degree from the Indira Gandhi National Open University, which is not permissible.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view

the settled principle of law, during the pendency of the present writ petition, the petitioner has already been promoted on the post of the lecturer on the basis of the same very degree, but the grievance of the petitioner is that though, the petitioner has been extended the benefit of promotion to the post of lecturer on the basis of same very degree but the same has not been given effect from the date, the persons junior to him were promoted.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case any grievance with regard to the retrospective promotion from the date the persons junior to the petitioner were promoted, is raised by the petitioner by way of filing a representation, the same will be decided in accordance with law, keeping in view the facts and circumstance of the present case coupled with the settled principle of law and an appropriate order will be passed within a period of 08 weeks from the date of receipt of such representation and in case after the decision, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for any relief, the same will be extended to him within a next 04 weeks thereafter.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement made by the learned counsel for the respondents, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed off having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

October 28, 2022
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No