

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-46724-2021**  
**Date of decision: 13.01.2022**

Karambir

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL**

Present:- Ms. Renu Dhull, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjeev Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)**

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Through this second petition, the petitioner seeks regular bail in case bearing FIR No.62 dated 28.01.2021, registered at Police Station Civil Line, District Kaithal, under Sections 323, 325, 307, 452 and 201 IPC.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

As per the prosecution version, injuries on the person of injured-Bintu, opined to be dangerous to life, have been attributed to the petitioner.

This is the second petition for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner, the first one having been dismissed as withdrawn, on 30.07.2021.

On a specific query put to the learned counsel for the petitioner, she has failed to show any change in circumstance. However, she submits that an application under Section 216 Cr.P.C., moved by the

petitioner, is still pending adjudication. The factum of pendency of said application is only a cosmetic change, and not a substantial one. Moreover, as submitted by the learned State counsel, the complainant is yet to be examined.

Keeping in view the nature and gravity of the offence and the fact that earlier petition was dismissed as withdrawn, this Court finds that no indulgence is required to be given to the petitioner and the prayer for bail is liable to be rejected.

Consequently, the present petition is dismissed.

**13.01.2022**

parveen kumar

**(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)  
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No