

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

144

CWP No.20689 of 2022
Date of Decision: 12.09.2022

Archana

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Dr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner is a mother of specially abled child, for which the petitioner was to be granted marks in the transfer policy, which marks have not been granted to her and the petitioner has been transferred vide impugned order dated 06.09.2022 (Annexure P-1) to a far off place.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that there are large number of vacancies which are available near to the present place of posting to the petitioner, where petitioner can be easily accommodated.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the petitioner has any grievance qua the transfer, she can approach the competent authorities by filing appropriate representation within a period of two days from today and in case, any such grievance is raised, the same shall be decided within a period of 10 days thereafter by passing an appropriate speaking order. In case, the petitioner has not been relieved so far from the present place of posting then she will be allowed to continue at the present place of posting till the decision of the

Said representation in case, the same is filed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to approach the respondents by filing appropriate representation raising the grievance as raised in the present petition.

Ordered accordingly.

12.09.2022
manju

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No