

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No. 201 (1)

CRM-M No.45550 of 2021

Date of decision : 24.02.2022

Nirmal Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present : Mr. Munish Garg, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Saurav Khurana, DAG, Punjab.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing.

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C.
for the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.100 dated 05.10.2021 registered
under Sections 452, 323, 148 and 149 IPC at Police Station Joga, district
Mansa.

On 29.10.2021, this Court had passed the following order : -

*“The matter has been taken up through Video
Conferencing.*

*Learned counsel for the petitioners inter-alia
contends that the petitioners have been falsely implicated
in this case at the behest of the complainant on account of
political reasons; the petitioners have no other criminal
case pending against them; even if the case of the
prosecution is taken as the gospel truth, though
vehemently denied, petitioner Nos.1 and 2 are attributed
simple injuries on non-vital part of the body of the*

complainant and petitioner No.3 is attributed no injury and that the petitioners are also ready and willing to join investigation as and when called by the investigating agency.

Notice of motion.

Mr.Saurav Khurana, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

For arguments, adjourned to 24.02.2022.

In the meanwhile subject to the petitioners' joining investigation as and when called by the investigating agency as also abiding by the other conditions provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C, in the event of their arrest in FIR No.100, dated 05.10.2021, registered under Sections 452, 323, 148, 149 IPC at Police Station Joga, District Mansa, they shall be released on ad interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer.”

Learned State counsel submits that under the afore-quoted order passed by this Court, the petitioners have joined the investigation; cooperated with the investigating agency and that their custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

After considering the submissions made on behalf of the petitioners in the afore-quoted order and the statement made on behalf of the State, the interim order of this Court dated 29.10.2021, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is ordered to be made absolute.

24.02.2022

sunil yadav

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No