

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

115

**CWP-20872-2022 (O&M).
Date of Decision: 15.09.2022.**

Ramesh Cable Through Proprietor Ramesh Bhalla

... Petitioner

Versus

M/s Fastway Transmission Private Limited and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Kinshu Mittal, Advocate, and
Mr. Ishan Kaushal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vibhor Srivastava, Advocate,
Mr. Aayush Gupta, Advocate, and
Mr. Abhishek Sharma, Advocate,
For respondent No.1-caveator

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

The present writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, raising a challenge to the order dated 18.05.2022 (Annexure P-6) and the order dated 22.08.2022 (Annexure P-10) in a Broadcasting Petition No.58 of 2022 passed by the Telecom Disputes Settlement and Appellate Tribunal, New Delhi.

At the very outset, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent No.1-Caveator contends that a similar petition had earlier been

filed by another Local Cable Operator bearing CWP No.20667 of 2022 titled as “**Om Cable Vs. M/s Fastway Transmissions Pvt. Ltd. And another,**” and this petition has been disposed off by this Court vide order dated 13.09.2022.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent No.1-Caveator contends that an interim concession has been granted in the said case by this Court and that he would not press for the execution of the order already passed by the Appellate Tribunal till the decision of the review petition preferred under Section 16 (f) of the TRAI Act, 1997.

Without commenting on the merits of the case, the instant petition is disposed of in terms of the order dated 13.09.2022 passed in CWP No.20667 of 2022 titled as “**Om Cable Vs. M/s Fastway Transmissions Pvt. Ltd. And another,**” (supra).

September 15, 2022.
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No