

CRM-M-46660-2021

Date of Decision :06.01.2022

Mohammad Anfas

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Mohammad Arshad, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Upasana Dhawan, AAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in view of prosecution evidence having concluded and the case fixed for defence evidence, he does not press the instant petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. and may be permitted to withdraw the same at this stage with liberty to file a fresh petition if the circumstances of the case so warrant.

2. In view of the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is dismissed as withdrawn, at this stage, with the liberty as prayed for.

06.01.2022

*rajesh***(B.S. Walia)**
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No.
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No.