

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

205+101

CRM-M-45498-2021(O&M)
Date of Decision : July 06, 2022

JASNEET SINGH AND ANOTHER

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present : Mr. L.M.Gulati, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. G.S.Sethi, Advocate for
Mr. K.S.Nalwa, Advocate
for the complainant.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI. J. (Oral)

The present petition is filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.948 dated 6.10.2021 under Sections 294, 406, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120B of IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar, Karnal, District Haryana.

The learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that on 29.10.2021 the arrest of the petitioners was stayed by this Court while issuing notice of motion and thereafter on 1.11.2021 the petitioners were directed to join the investigation and were granted interim bail by this Court. He submitted that in the present case there was a money dispute between the parties with regard to the issuance of alleged fabricated tickets. However, during the pendency of the present petition the matter has been compromised between the parties and the total subject matter of

the dispute was about Rs.31 lacs out of which the petitioners have already paid Rs.10 lacs to the complainant. He further submitted that in pursuance of the aforesaid order, whereby the petitioners were granted interim bail, the petitioners have repeatedly join the investigation and they have fully cooperated with the investigation process and, therefore, he has prayed that in view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the order dated 1.11.2021 may be made absolute.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel has stated on instructions from ASI Bahadur Singh that it is correct that the petitioners have joined the investigation and they have fully cooperated with the investigation process and he has instructions to say that the petitioners are not required for custodial investigation particularly in view of the fact that the petitioners and the complainant have already compromised the matter with each other and it was a case of financial dispute between the parties.

The learned counsel appearing for the complainant has also submitted that the matter has been amicably settled between the parties and the subject matter was pertaining to financial dispute and the complainant has already received Rs.10 lacs as part payment.

I have heard the learned counsels for the parties.

This Court had granted interim bail to the petitioners vide order dated 1.11.2021 and the learned State counsel has stated that in pursuance of the aforesaid order, the petitioners have already joined the investigation and their custodial investigation is not required. As per the learned counsels for the parties, the matter pertains to financial dispute

between the parties although at one point of time the allegation of fabrication of tickets was also made but part payment has now been made to the complainant by the petitioners in pursuance of the compromise which has been stated by the learned counsels for the parties.

In view of the aforesaid factual position, the present petition is allowed and order dated 1.11.2021 is, hereby, made absolute.

July 06, 2022
ajay-1

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No