

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(205)

CRM-M-41767-2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision : November 29, 2022

Ravinder Singh @ Sonu

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.. Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Ms. Himanshi, Advocate, for
Mr. Chandan Singh Rana, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Teevar Sharma, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

CRM-34164-2022

As prayed for, the application is allowed.

CRM-M-41767-2022

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is behind the bars for the last more than four years but still the trial has not concluded. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that out of ten prosecution witnesses, only one witness has been examined and the petitioner will be satisfied, in case a time bound direction is given to the respondent-State to conclude the trial in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Teevar Sharma, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that though the petitioner was initially arrested in the year 2018 but thereafter, the petitioner was granted interim bail, which was misused by him and he was declared proclaimed offender and was arrested after two years but submits that he has no objection in case, the trial Court is directed to conclude the trial in a time bound manner.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances that the present FIR relates to August 2018 and nine witnesses are to be examined, the trial Court is directed to conclude the trial within a period of six months from the next date of hearing even if, the short adjournments are to be given for the said purpose.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of.

November 29, 2022
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No