

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

223

CRM-M-41702-2022

Date of Decision: 27.10.2022

Ankit

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Balraj Gujjar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, DAG, Haryana.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has approached this Court seeking grant of anticipatory bail in respect of a case registered against him vide FIR No.372, dated 29.6.2022, Police Station Faridabad Kotwali, District Faridabad, Haryana, under Sections 419 and 420 of Indian Penal Code.
2. At the time of issuance of notice of motion on 13.09.2022, the following order was passed:

“The petitioner has approached this Court seeking grant of anticipatory bail in respect of a case registered vide FIR No.372, dated 29.6.2022, Police Station Faridabad Kotwali, District Faridabad, Haryana, under Sections 419 and 420 of Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the matter has been amicably resolved amongst the parties and that on the basis of said compromise, a petition i.e. CRM-M-40186 of 2022 for quashing of FIR has already been filed in this Court in which this Court vide order dated 5.9.2022 (Annexure P-4) had issued notice of motion directing the parties to get their statements recorded before the Trial Court qua the factum of compromise.

Notice of motion for 27.10.2022.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.”

3. Learned State counsel upon instructions has informed that pursuant to interim directions issued by this Court, the petitioner has since joined investigation and is not required for any custodial interrogation.
4. Having regard to the aforestated position, wherein the petitioner is stated to have joined investigation and is not required for any custodial interrogation, the petition is accepted and the interim directions issued by this Court vide order dated 13.09.2022 are hereby made absolute subject to the condition that the petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

27.10.2022

Vimal

**(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: **Yes/No**
Whether reportable: **Yes/No**