

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

106

CRM-M-46903-2021

Date of Decision : **February 18, 2022**

RULDU SINGH AND ANR

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Through Video Conferencing

Present : Mr. L.K.Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI. J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for seeking direction to respondent No.1 to transfer the daughter of the petitioners from Central Jail, Amritsar to Central Jail, Mansa, Tamkot, District Mansa.

The learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners are the parents of the convict who is a lady and they are residing in District Mansa whereas the daughter who is convicted under Sections 302, 201, 182, 177 and 34 IPC has been shifted to Central Jail, Amritsar. He has further submitted that now the convict has been shifted from Central Jail, Amritsar to Central Jail, Kapurthala. He has submitted that since the Kapurthala Jail is far away from Mansa, it has become difficult for the petitioners to go and meet their daughter.

I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioners.

It is not the case of the petitioners that the daughter of the

petitioners is facing any difficulty or problem in the Jail but the only ground on the basis of which the present petition has been filed is that the petitioners are old parents of convict and, therefore, in view of the distance between the two places, they are unable to travel to meet their daughter. A perusal of the memo of parties would show that petitioner No.1 is 73 years of age and petitioner No.2 is 63 years of age. There is nothing in the petition to show that even the petitioners are suffering from any medical disability or any other problem. Seeking a direction for shifting of the convict from one jail to another jail is not a vested right and the ground taken by the petitioners that in order to meet the convict, the same should be transferred to another jail is no ground for invoking extra ordinary jurisdiction under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

Finding no merit in the present petition, the same is, hereby, dismissed.

February 18, 2022

ajay-1

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No