

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(219)

CWP-4077-2017

Date of Decision : July 11, 2022

Balwan Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

UHBVNL and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. R.K. Chaudhary, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. G.B.S. Gill, Advocate, for

Mr. Gaurav Dhir, Advocate, for the respondents.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the impugned order, by which a cut has been imposed on the pension of the petitioner for a period of three years, is totally arbitrary and illegal.

After notice of motion, the respondents have appeared and have raised a preliminary objection that the petitioner has statutory remedy of appeal against the said order, which has not been availed by the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the objection raised by the respondents, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to avail the remedy of appeal and the respondents be directed to decide the same in case, the petitioner prefers the same within a period of one month from now.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case, any appeal is preferred by the petitioner within a period of one month, the same will be disposed of on merits within a period of one month from the receipt of copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the statement of the learned counsel for the respondents, no further grievance of the petitioner survives in the present petition and the same may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty as prayed for.

Ordered accordingly.

July 11, 2022
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No