

125
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-8776-2022
Date of Decision: 12.09.2022**

Kamaljit Kaur and another

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. R.K. Kachura, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Amit Rana, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking prayer for issuance of a writ for directing respondent Nos. 2 and 3 for not harassing/implicating the petitioners in a criminal case.

The learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that petitioner No.1 is a girl of the age of 22 years and petitioner No.2 is a boy of the age of 18 years and has not attained the age of marriage. He further submitted that both the petitioners are in live in relationship with each other which is not acceptable to the private respondents. He further submitted that he has given a representation to the SSP, Ludhiana (Rural) vide Annexure P-3 dated 07.09.2022 regarding which the receipt is also attached as Annexure P-3 which shows that courier receipt was given on

07.09.2022. He further submitted that in view of the aforesaid position, directions may be issued to the SSP, Ludhiana (Rural) to decide the representation.

I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioners.

It is a case of the petitioners themselves that the petitioners gave a representation to the SSP, Ludhiana (Rural) vide Annexure P-3 which is dated 07.09.2022 and have also attached a courier receipt of the same date i.e. 07.09.2022. The present petition has been filed on the next date i.e. 08.09.2022. The prayer of the petitioners is that directions be issued to the SSP to decide the representation. When the petitioners themselves have given a representation/complaint to the SSP on 07.09.2022 by way of courier, then at least some space or time has to be given to the concerned authorities for the purpose of considering the representation but the petitioners were in haste and approached this Court on the next day and have made a prayer that their representation be decided. Such kind of petition where not even one day is given to the concerned authorities to consider the representation is not only unsustainable but also an abuse of the process of law apart from wastage of the precious time of the Court.

In view of the aforesaid position, the present petition being devoid of merit is hereby dismissed.

12.09.2022

rakesh

Whether speaking
Whether reportable

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)**JUDGE**

: Yes/No
: Yes/No