IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Sr. No.217

Case No. : Crl. Misc. No.M-46755 of 2021

Date of Decision : January 05, 2022

Harpreet Singh Bhatti and another .... Petitioners

vs.

State of UT, Chandigarh and another .... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL.
(Through Video Conferencing)

Present : Mr. Prageet Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Anil Kumar Lambdharia, APP, UT, Chandigarh.

Mr. Sukhjit Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.2 (complainant).

* * *

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral) :

The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.93 dated 03.08.2019, lodged under Sections 406 and 498-A IPC, registered at Women Police Station, Sector 17, Chandigarh (Annexure P-1) and the consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise dated 26.10.2021 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

Learned counsel for the parties submit that it was essentially on account of matrimonial dispute between the parties that the FIR in question came into existence. However, subsequently, with the intervention of elders and family members, the parties arrived at amicable settlement.

Vide order dated 08.11.2021 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at between them.

Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Chandigarh, in pursuance to the direction of this Court,

MONIKA
2022.01.06 10:42
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report, compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that she would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioners is quashed.

The trial Court has annexed the photocopies of statements of the parties, along with its report.

Learned counsel appearing for UT, Chandigarh, on instructions, has apprised this Court that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Chandigarh and the principles laid down by the Apex Court in **Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab and others** ***(2012) 10 SCC 303***, and also by a Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another** ***2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it are quashed.

Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

January 05, 2022 **(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)**
monika **JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned ?	*Yes/No.*
Whether reportable ?	*Yes/No*

MONIKA
2022.01.06 10:42
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document