

257

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-41242-2020

DECIDED ON: 12th DECEMBER, 2022

KULBIR SINGH @ NIKU AND OTHERS

PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL.

Present: Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Hemant Aggarwal, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Varun Sharma, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition is for quashing of FIR No.159, dated 23.09.2020 under Sections 325, 323 and 34 IPC, registered at Police Station City Jalalabad, District Fazilka (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of settlement/compromise dated 03.12.2020 (Annexure P-2).

During the pendency of the dispute, the parties have compromised the matter and filed the present petition for quashing of FIR.

Vide order dated 09.12.2020 parties were directed to appear

before the Illaqa Magistrate/Trial Court for recording of their statements

with regard to the genuineness of the compromise.

The report dated 12.02.2021 has been received from learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate Jalalabad (West) stating that the parties have entered into a compromise voluntarily, which is genuine and without any coercion or undue influence.

Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, has held:-

“The only inevitable conclusion from the above discussion is that there is no statutory bar under the Cr.P.C. which can affect the inherent power of this Court under Section 482. Further, the same cannot be limited to matrimonial cases alone and the Court has the wide power to quash the proceedings even in noncompoundable offences notwithstanding the bar under Section 320 of the Cr.P.C., in order to prevent the abuse of law and to secure the ends of justice.

The power under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. is to be exercised Ex-Debitia Justitia to prevent an abuse of process of Court. There can neither be an exhaustive list nor the defined para-meters to enable a High Court to invoke or exercise its inherent powers. It will always depend upon the facts and circumstances of each case. The power under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. has no limits. However, the High Court will exercise it sparingly and with utmost care and caution. The exercise of power has to be with circumspection and restraint. The Court is a vital and an extra-ordinary effective instrument to maintain and control social order. The Courts play role of paramount importance in achieving peace, harmony and everlasting congeniality in society. Resolution of a dispute by way of a compromise between two warring groups, therefore, should attract the immediate and prompt attention of a Court which should endeavour to give full effect to the same unless

such compromise is abhorrent to lawful composition of the society or would promote savagery.”

The legal principles as laid down for quashing of the judgment were also approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the matter of '**Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and another,(2012) 10 SCC 303'**. Furthermore, the broad principles for exercising the powers under Section 482 were summarized by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the matter of '**Parbatbhai Aahir @ Parbatbhai Bhimsinhbhai Karmur and others versus State of Gujarat and another” (2017) 9 SCC 641'**.

It is evident that in view of the amicable resolution of the issues amongst the parties, no useful purpose would be served by continuation of the proceedings. The furtherance of the proceedings is likely to be a waste of judicial time and there appears to be no chances of conviction.

In view of above, the present petition is allowed and FIR (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua the petitioners in view of compromise (Annexure P-2).

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

12th DECEMBER, 2022.
sham

- | | |
|---------------------------------|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable : | Yes / No |