

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(202)

CWP-3919-2017

Date of Decision : December 22, 2022

Rita Rani

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Naveen Daryal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Maan, Additional Advocate General,
Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

In the present petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that the petitioner should be considered eligible for the post of TGT English as advertised vide Advertisement No.9 of 2015 and she be selected against the said post keeping in view the merit obtained by her along with consequential relief for appointment.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that during the pendency of the petition, the respondents have passed an order dated 22.12.2022 vide which, the petitioner has been considered eligible in the BCA category for appointment to the post of TGT English keeping in view her merit position at Sr. No.292-A in the Mewat Cadre. Learned counsel for the respondents further submits that the recommendation qua the selection

of the petitioner has already been made by the Haryana Staff Selection Commission to the Director General Elementary Education Department of Haryana and appropriate decision will be taken by the Director Elementary Education Department for appointing the petitioner as TGT English in Mewat Cadre.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the order has been passed but the appointment order is yet to be issued to the petitioner, the respondents be time bound to issue the same so that the petitioner, who is litigating for the last more than five years, does not suffer any further prejudice.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that appropriate order appointing the petitioner will be passed by the Director Elementary Education Department of Haryana within a period of four weeks from the receipt of copy of this order in case, the petitioner is found suitable in all other respects, which are to be ascertained after the recommendation received from the Commission.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition has been rendered infructuous and the same may kindly be disposed of as such but liberty be given to the petitioner that in case after the appointment of the petitioner, any of grievance survives with regard to retrospective appointment or other benefits, the petitioner be given liberty to file appropriate representation claiming the same keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case, any grievance is raised by the petitioner by filing representation with the respondents, the same will be dealt in accordance with law and appropriate order will be passed within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of any such grievance.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the above, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

December 22, 2022
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No