

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

202

CRM-M-35114-2019

Date of Decision: 07.12.2022

Trilok Kumar Chaudhary

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Kamal Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Abhinash Jain, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sumeet Goel, Senior Advocate, with
Mr. Samir Rathour, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

Today, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since respondent No.2 has passed away, the instant petition filed under provisions of Section 439(2) Cr.P.C. is rendered infructuous.

In view of the aforesaid submission, the petition is disposed of having been rendered infructuous.

07.12.2022

Vimal

**(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: **Yes/No**

Whether reportable: **Yes/No**