

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

117

CR-6676 of 2019(O&M)
Date of decision: 01.08.2022

Jaswinder Singh

..Petitioner

Versus

Ramesh Khanna and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Ms. Rupinder Kaur Thind, Advocate, for respondent no.1.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

In a pending execution petition, the Court culled out the issues on 19.05.2017. Thereafter, the evidence of Sh. Gurdeep Singh, a witness of the objector was recorded in chief on 13.10.2017. He was partly cross-examined on 14.12.2017.

Thereafter, on 15.01.2018, the counsel representing the decree holder sought an adjournment. The petitioner is the objector. He prays for one more opportunity to lead his entire evidence.

On the other hand, the learned counsel representing the decree holder has submitted that on 22.02.2018, 09.04.2018, , 21.05.2018, 18.08.2018, 26.09.2018, 19.11.2018, 07.01.2019, 09.04.2019 as well as on 25.04.2019, the objector did not produce any evidence, though, the Court granted indulgence.

It is evident from the reading of the impugned order that the petitioner neither paid the costs for adjournment nor produced the evidence.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, this court does not find it appropriate to interfere with the impugned order while exercising jurisdiction under Article 227 of the Constitution of India.

Hence, dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

August 01, 2022

nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>