

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

223

CWP-9762-2016

Date of Decision :19.05.2022

Hari Chand and others

..Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sunny Singla, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ambika Bedi, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Aman Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.4.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners argues that in the present petition, the prayer of the petitioners is for counting the daily wage service rendered by them with the P.W.D. Department i.e. respondent No.5 as qualifying service for computing their pensionary benefits.

In the reply filed on behalf of the respondents, it has been mentioned that no proof of service rendered by the petitioners on adhoc basis is available with the respondent-department and in the absence of the same, relief as claimed by the petitioners cannot be granted to them.

There is no replication filed by the petitioners controverting the said stand of the respondents.

On being asked as to what proof the petitioners have placed on record with regard to the daily wage service rendered by them, learned

counsel for the petitioners prays that the petitioners be allowed to withdraw the present petition with liberty to furnish the proof of the service rendered by the petitioners on daily wage basis before the respondents by way of filing an appropriate representation.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case any representation is submitted by the petitioners giving proof/details of the service, the benefit of which is being claimed by them, an appropriate order on the same will be passed within a period eight weeks from the date of receipt of the said representation.

With regard to the prayer of the petitioners for the grant of interest, it has already come on record that the petitioners have already been paid interest on the delayed release of the payment hence, no orders are required to be passed by this Court on the said aspect.

Present petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

May 19, 2022
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No