

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

116

CRR-1911-2022

Date of Decision: September 15, 2022

Dixit Kamra

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Baljeet Beniwal, Advocate for the petitioner(s)

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Aggrieved by the extension of time granted under Section 36A(4) of NDPS Act, to produce challan report under Section 173 Cr.P.C., the accused has come up before this Court.

Learned counsel for the State has opposed the present petition on the ground that due to shortage of Reporting Officer in Forensic Science Laboratory, Madhuban, where the analysis of the contraband was to be done and they being overburdened as during the period of Pandemic there was restriction on work, led to non-filing of the challan.

Given above, there is no illegality in the impugned order and the present petition is dismissed.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

September 15, 2022

sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	No