

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

111

CWP No.20513 of 2022

Date of Decision: 09.09.2022

Gian Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Nasir Jamal, Advocate,
for Mr. Mohammad Arshad, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been transferred without completing the minimum stay required at a particular station.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further argues that the petitioner has not completed minimum time period of five years at the present place of posting and he has been transferred by the impugned order, which is contrary to the provisions of the transfer policy as well as directions given by the Coordinate Bench in CWP No.15108 of 2021 titled as *Vikram Singh and others v. State of Haryana and others*.

Learned counsel further submits that station which was opted by the petitioner, has been kept vacant and that too without any valid justification.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the grievance which is being raised by the petitioner has already been raised in the representation dated 02.09.2022 a copy of which has been appended as Annexure P-7 and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is given to the respondents to decide the same by passing

appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, representation dated 02.09.2022 (Annexure P-7), if not already decided then the same will be decided within a period of 10 days from the receipt of copy of this judgment by passing an appropriate speaking order. In case, the petitioner has not been relieved so far from the present place of posting then he will be allowed to continue at the present place till the decision of the said representation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned State counsel, no further grievance of the petitioner survives at this stage and the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

09.09.2022
manju

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No