

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(226)

CWP No.3638 of 2017

Date of Decision : 11.10.2022

Deeksha Bhatt

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. J.S. Saneta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Maan, Additional Advocate General,
Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

In the present petition, the petitioner is claiming release of annual increment w.e.f. 01.07.2008.

Learned counsel for the petitioner very fairly submits that the respondent-department considered the claim of the petitioner and found that the petitioner is entitled for one increment w.e.f. 01.01.2006 and the same has already been granted to her vide order dated 06.07.2015 but, the consequential benefits have not been paid for re-fixation of the salary of the petitioner and other benefits for which the petitioner was found entitled for.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the order dated 06.07.2015 passed by the Director, Secondary Education, Haryana, Panchkula as stated in para 7 of the reply has not been implemented in its letter and spirit, the same will be done within a period

of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and whatever th petitioner is found entitled for, will be released.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition has been rendered infructuous and the same may be disposed of.

Ordered accordingly.

October 11, 2022
jt

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? Yes
Whether reportable? No