

102 CM-13565-CWP-2020;
CM-13564-CWP-2020 in/and
RA-CW-257 of 2020 in
CWP-14772 of 2020

Bhartendu Sood

versus

Chandigarh Housing Board through its Chairman and another

Present: Review applicant-petitioner in person.

Mr. Ashish Rawal, Advocate,
for respondent no.1(Chandigarh Housing Board)

Mr. Aditya Jain, Advocate,
for respondent no.2.

RA-CW-257 of 2020

Vide this application, the petitioner in the accompany petition seeks a review of the order passed by this court (co-ordinate Bench), dated 15.10.2020, dismissing the said petition.

The review applicant-petitioner (appearing in person) submits that the policy of the Chandigarh Administration holding that no transfer of properties (specifically of the kind that the petitioner seeks transfer of), came about on 19.12.2017, whereas the petitioner had already applied for transfer on 02.06.2016 and though the judgment in the case of **Suraj Lamp & Industries Pvt. Ltd.v. State of Haryana and another** (Special Leave Petition (C) No.13917 of 2009, date of decision 11.10.2011) was delivered by the Supreme Court on 11.10.2011, it was not given effect to by the respondent Administration till 19.12.2017 and consequently the petitioners' case would also be the same as those persons who were granted the benefit of such

CM-13565-CWP-2020;
CM-13564-CWP-2020 in/and
RA-CW-257 of 2020 in
CWP-14772 of 2020

-2-

transfers between the years 2011 and 2017.

Having considered that argument, it is seen that it has been dealt with by this court in the order dated 15.10.2020, both as regards the date of the judgment in *Suraj Lamps'* case (supra), as also on the issue of discrimination.

Consequently, the ground for review being a very limited one, (that there is an error patent on the face of the record), we find no ground to entertain this application, which is consequently dismissed.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

May 23, 2022
dinesh

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE