

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

219

CRM-M-40977-2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 13.07.2022

Raju ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Ramnish Puri, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Narinder Singh Sindher, Advocate, for
Mr. Udeyveer Singh, Advocate, for the complainant.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the trial is at its fag end and that in these circumstances, he does not press the instant petition at this stage and that he may be permitted to withdraw the petition with liberty to approach again in case, there is inordinate delay in conclusion of trial. He has further submitted that some directions for expeditious conclusion of trial may, however, be issued

In view of the aforesaid submission, the petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

Keeping in view the fact that the petitioner has been behind bars since the last about 3 years & 8 months, the trial Court is expected to take all necessary steps for expeditious conclusion of trial.

13.07.2022

Vimal

**(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No