

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(113)

CWP No. 20545 of 2022
Date of Decision : 09.09.2022

Jai Bir

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Anil Kumar Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been transferred vide order dated 28.08.2022 (Annexure P-8) by ignoring the clauses of the transfer policy, according to which, the candidate having higher merit is to be given preference over a candidate having less merit. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the present case, the option submitted by the petitioner has been denied to him but has been extended to a candidate, who has lesser merit than the petitioner, which act of the respondents is causing prejudice to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the representation (Annexure P-9), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and states that he has instructions to submit that the application filed by the petitioner (Annexure P-9) will be decided within a period of ten days from today.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

September 09, 2022
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No