

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

143

**CWP No.20805 of 2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 13.09.2022**

**M/s United Leasing and Industries Limited
and another**

..Petitioners

Versus

Union of India and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Abhinav Sood, Advocate and
Mr. Dhruv Chowfla, Advocate and
Mr. Vardaan Malhotra, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harsh Vardhan Shehrawat, AAG, Haryana
Mr. Jaspal S. Pannu, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Rakesh Roy, Advocate, for NHAI

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

Admittedly, the petitioner has an alternative statutory remedy under Section 3(G)(5) of the National Highways Act, 1956. In substance, the dispute is with regard to quantum of compensation payable to the owners on account of the compulsory acquisition of the land under the National Highways Act, 1956. As per Section 3(G)(5,) any party who disputes the correctness of the assessment offered by the Competent Authority-cum-Land Acquisition Collector is entitled to request the Central Government for appointment of an Arbitrator. In the present case, by a supplementary award, the authority has held that the petitioners have been paid an excess amount. The petitioners can also pray for the grant of stay of recovery before the Arbitrator.

Hence, no ground to interfere is made out.

Dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

September 13, 2022

nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No